

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
WESTERN DIVISION AT DAYTON**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	:	
	:	Case No. 3:012CR00106
Plaintiff,	:	
vs.	:	District Judge Timothy S. Black
	:	Magistrate Judge Sharon L. Ovington
EVERARDO RIOS-MOCTEZUMA,	:	
Defendant.	:	

---

---

**REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS<sup>1</sup>**

---

---

This case came on for hearing on August 31, 2012. The United States was represented by Assistant United States Attorney Vipal Patel, and Defendant was represented by F. Arthur Mullins.

The parties have entered into a plea agreement, which has been filed of record. The undersigned carefully inquired of Defendant regarding his understanding of the agreement as well as his competence to understand the agreement. Having fully inquired, the undersigned Judicial Officer finds that Defendant's tendered plea of guilty to Count One of the Information is knowing, intelligent, and voluntary. Based on the statement of

---

<sup>1</sup> Attached hereto is a NOTICE to the parties regarding objections to this Report and Recommendations.

facts as set forth in the plea colloquy the undersigned finds that there is a sufficient factual basis for a finding of guilt as to Count One of the Information.

It is accordingly recommended that the Court accept the plea of guilty to Count One of the Information which charges the Defendant with Transportation of Illegal Alien for Private Financial Gain in violation of Title 8 U.S.C. 1324(a)(1)(A)(ii) and (B)(i). Wire Fraud in violation of Title 18 U.S.C. § 1343.

Pending the Court's acceptance of Defendant's plea, was remanded to the custody of the United States Marshal.

August 31, 2012

s/ Sharon L. Ovington  
Sharon L. Ovington  
United States Magistrate Judge

## **NOTICE REGARDING OBJECTIONS**

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b), any party may serve and file specific, written objections to the proposed findings and recommendations within fourteen days after being served with this Report and Recommendations. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(d), this period is extended to seventeen days because this Report is being served by one of the methods of service listed in Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(b)(2)(C), (D), (E), or (F). Such objections shall specify the portions of the Report objected to and shall be accompanied by a memorandum of law in support of the objections. If the Report and Recommendations are based in whole or in part upon matters occurring of record at an oral hearing, the objecting party shall promptly arrange for the transcription of the record, or such portions of it as all parties may agree upon or the Magistrate Judge deems sufficient, unless the assigned District Judge otherwise directs. A party may respond to another party's objections within ten days after being served with a copy thereof.

Failure to make objections in accordance with this procedure may forfeit rights on appeal. *See United States v. Walters*, 638 F.2d 947 (6<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1981); *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985).